

Introduction to representation theory

Maxence Michot

August 25, 2024

This report was written during my internship at the university of Duisburg-Essen, that I did with Dr. Vytautas Paskunas, whom I thank for supervising me. The goal of the internship was to get familiar with tools of number theory and representation theory, more specifically to understand the following paper by [BP] R. Beuzart-Plessis, in the case where the group G is $GL_n(F)$, where F is a p -adic field. The first chapter is heavily inspired by the pdf of the course 'Algebraic number theory', which I took during my internship.

I will try to make this document accessible to anyone who has never seen p -adic fields before, but that is not a guarantee. Missing proofs can most often times be found in [Sp]

Contents

1 p-adic fields and their rings of integers	1
2 Smooth representations	6
3 Haar measures and integration on groups	7
4 Parabolic subgroups and cusp forms	8
5 How to construct a supercuspidal representation for $G = GL_n(F)$	10

1 p -adic fields and their rings of integers

Definition 1.1. Let K be a field. An **absolute value** on K is a function $|\cdot| : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that the following two conditions hold for all $x, y \in K$:

1. $|x + y| \leq |x| + |y|$
2. $|xy| = |x||y|$
3. $|x| = 0 \implies x = 0$

Definition 1.2. A **valued field** is a field equipped with an absolute value.

Example 1.3. $(\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|)$ and $(\mathbb{Q}, |\cdot|)$ are valued fields

Definition 1.4. Let p be a prime number. We define the p -adic absolute value on \mathbb{Q} as follows : If $n = p^\alpha m \in \mathbb{Z}$ where $p \nmid m$, then $|n|_p = p^{-\alpha}$. In other words, $|n|_p = p^{-\nu_p(n)}$. If $x = \frac{a}{b} \in \mathbb{Q}$, then $|x|_p = |a|_p - |b|_p$

Remark 1.5. This is well defined and an absolute value. I will only show the well-definedness. Indeed, if $\frac{a}{b} = \frac{c}{d}$, then $|ad|_p = |bc|_p$, which implies that

$$p^{-(\nu_p(a)+\nu_p(d))} = p^{-(\nu_p(b)+\nu_p(c))}$$

Taking logs yields the desired result.

Proposition 1.6. *The p -adic absolute value is ultrametric, which means that it verifies the following identity :*

$$\forall x, y \in \mathbb{Q}, |x + y|_p \leq \max(|x|_p, |y|_p)$$

Proof. Let $x = p^\alpha m, y = p^\beta l \in \mathbb{Z}$. We have $p^{\min(\alpha, \beta)} |x + y|_p$, hence $\nu_p(x + y) \geq \min(\alpha, \beta)$. Thus, $-\nu_p(x + y) \leq \max(-\alpha, -\beta)$, hence

$$|x + y|_p \leq p^{\max(-\alpha, -\beta)} = \max(p^{-\alpha}, p^{-\beta}) = \max(|x|_p, |y|_p)$$

Now take $x = \frac{a}{b}, y = \frac{c}{d}$. We have

$$|x + y|_p = \frac{|ad + bc|_p}{|bd|_p} \leq \frac{\max(|ad|_p, |bc|_p)}{|bd|_p} = \max(|x|_p, |y|_p)$$

□

Remark 1.7. This absolute value means that the more a number is divisible by p , the "smaller" it is. The notion of closeness is not the one of \mathbb{Q} equipped with the usual absolute value. With this new absolute value, n and $n + 1$ can vastly differ in their absolute values.

Definition 1.8. We say that an absolute value $|\cdot|$ is **discrete** if the values taken by $\log(|\cdot|)$ is a discrete subset of \mathbb{R} .

Example 1.9. $|\cdot|_p$ is discrete.

Remark 1.10. One can show that such an absolute value is ultrametric.

Definition 1.11. A **discrete valuation ring** (DVR) is a principal ideal domain with exactly one non-zero maximal ideal.

Definition 1.12. Let A be a DVR and \mathfrak{m} be its maximal ideal. Then $\kappa := A/\mathfrak{m}$ is called the **residue field**

Proposition 1.13. *Let $(k, |\cdot|)$ be a complete discretely valued field. Then,*

$$A = \{x \in k \mid |x| \leq 1\}$$

is a discrete valuation ring, with maximal ideal

$$\mathfrak{m} = \{x \in k \mid |x| < 1\}$$

and group of units

$$A^\times = \{x \in k \mid |x| = 1\}$$

Proof. A is clearly a ring, as follows from the axioms of an absolute value. Recall that a ring has a unique maximal ideal if and only if $A \setminus A^\times$ is an ideal. The group of units is clearly $\{x \in k \mid |x| = 1\}$, and as $\mathfrak{m} = \{x \in k \mid |x| < 1\}$ is an ideal, this shows that \mathfrak{m} is the only maximal ideal. As the valuation is discrete, one can choose an element x of \mathfrak{m} of maximal valuation. Such an element will generate all of \mathfrak{m} . I will not give a proof for this, but it can be found in [Sp] □

Proposition 1.14. *Let $(k, |\cdot|)$ be a complete discretely valued field. Let A be its valuation ring, $\mathfrak{m} = (\varpi)$ its maximal ideal and let $R \subset \mathcal{O}_F$ be a system of representatives for A/\mathfrak{m} . Then, every $x \in k \setminus \{0\}$ can be written as*

$$x = \varpi^m \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i \varpi^i$$

with $m = \nu(x)$ and $a_i \in R$

Proof. A proof of this can be found in [Sp] □

Recall the following definition : The completion of a vector space is defined to be the set of all Cauchy sequences of that space, modulo zero-sequences. One can show that this construction makes this space complete, and in the case where the vector space is a field, it also endows the completion with a field structure. For more information, see [As]

Definition 1.15. We define \mathbb{Q}_p to be the completion of $(\mathbb{Q}, |\cdot|_p)$ and \mathbb{Z}_p to be its ring of integers.

Definition 1.16. A p -adic field F is a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p

With the following two theorems, one can show that a p -adic field F is a complete discretely valued field. Hence, every element in F can be represented as in 1.14. The first one is useful for the proof of the second and will be useful later, which is why I put it here.

Lemma 1.17 (Hensel's lemma). *Let A be a discretely valued ring and k its fraction field. If $f \in A[X]$ is primitive and decomposes in*

$$\bar{f} = \bar{g}\bar{h} \in \kappa[X]$$

with \bar{g} and \bar{h} coprime, then, there exists $g, h \in A[X]$ such that

- $g = \bar{g} \bmod \mathfrak{m}, h = \bar{h} \bmod \mathfrak{m}$
- $\deg(g) = \deg(\bar{g})$
- $f = gh$

Theorem 1.18 (Extension of absolute values). *Let $(k, |\cdot|)$ be a complete discretely valued field, and let L/K be a finite field extension of degree n . Then, $|\cdot|$ extends uniquely to L , which makes L a complete discretely valued field such that for $x \in L$,*

$$|x|_L = N_{L/K}(x)^{1/n}$$

Example 1.19. In \mathbb{Q}_p , the set of all elements of norm ≤ 1 is \mathbb{Z}_p . As \mathbb{Q} injects into \mathbb{Q}_p and \mathbb{Z} into \mathbb{Z}_p , I will treat elements of \mathbb{Q} as elements of \mathbb{Q}_p . p is an element of norm < 1 , and also an element which has the biggest norm which is strictly smaller than 1. Hence it generates a maximal ideal of \mathbb{Z}_p . Thus, every element of $x \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ can be written as

$$x = p^{\nu_p(x)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k p^k$$

Example 1.20. In \mathbb{Q}_p ,

$$\frac{1}{1-p} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p^k$$

A reason why this series makes sense is that this is absolutely convergent series in \mathbb{Q}_p and since \mathbb{Q}_p is complete, the series converges.

Now let's talk a bit about the topology of \mathbb{Q}_p . This topology is a little bit special, as every point has a neighbourhood of compact-open subsets around it.

Proposition 1.21. *For $x \in \mathbb{Q}_p$, $(x + p^n \mathbb{Z}_p)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ forms a basis of compact open subsets around x .*

Proof. As $(\mathbb{Q}_p, +)$ is a topological group, it only suffices to show that $(p^n \mathbb{Z}_p)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ forms a basis of compact open subsets around 0. Let U be an open subset around 0. Let $B(0, \epsilon) \subset U$ be a ball of radius $\epsilon > 0$. There exists an N such that for $p^N \mathbb{Z}_p \subset B(0, \epsilon)$. Moreover

$$p^N \mathbb{Z}_p = \{x \in \mathbb{Q}_p \mid |x|_p \leq \frac{1}{p^N}\} = \{x \in \mathbb{Q}_p \mid |x|_p < \frac{1}{p^{N-1}}\}$$

The first expression gives us the compactness, the second gives the openness. \square

Remark 1.22. This is still true for all p -adic fields, with ϖ instead of p

Now for a theorem that links the analytic view of \mathbb{Z}_p , with an algebraic one :

Theorem 1.23. $\mathbb{Z}_p \cong \lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*} \mathbb{Z}/p^n \mathbb{Z}$ in the category of topological rings

Proof. According to 1.14 we can write an element x of \mathbb{Z}_p as

$$x = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k p^k$$

with $a_k \in \llbracket 0, p-1 \rrbracket$ Let us define

$$\phi_n : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^n \mathbb{Z}$$

which maps an element $x = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k p^k$ to

$$\phi_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k p^k$$

This is well defined and a ring homomorphism. Let $x \in \mathbb{Z}/p^n \mathbb{Z}$.

We have

$$\phi_n^{-1}(x) = \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k p^k + \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} b_i p^i \mid b_i \in \llbracket 0, p-1 \rrbracket \right\} = x + p^n \mathbb{Z}_p$$

. As $\mathbb{Z}/p^n \mathbb{Z}$ is equipped with the discrete topology, and as $\phi_n^{-1}(x)$ is open according to 1.21, ϕ_n is a continuous ring homomorphism, and it makes the following diagram commute :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathbb{Z}_p & \\ \phi_n \swarrow & & \searrow \phi_{n+1} \\ \mathbb{Z}/p^n \mathbb{Z} & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{Z}/p^{n+1} \mathbb{Z} \end{array}$$

Now let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*} \in \lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*} \mathbb{Z}/p^n \mathbb{Z}$. This means that x_{n+1} is equal to x_n modulo p^n . Thus, in a certain cense, x_{n+1} can only have p different values, which makes sense because we want to write (x_n) as a series in which each term can take p different value. Let us then define

$$\phi : \lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*} \mathbb{Z}/p^n \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$$

which maps (x_n) to

$$x_1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x_{n+1} - x_n}{p^n} p^n$$

We then check that ϕ is a continuous ring homomorphism, which makes the following diagram commute :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*} \mathbb{Z}/p^n \mathbb{Z} & \\
 & \downarrow \phi & \\
 \mathbb{Z}/p^n \mathbb{Z} & \xleftarrow{\phi_n} \mathbb{Z}_p \xrightarrow{\phi_{n+1}} & \mathbb{Z}/p^{n+1} \mathbb{Z}
 \end{array}$$

and hence the desired result □

I will end with a lemma which I will use later.

Lemma 1.24 (Krasner's lemma). *Let F be a p -adic field. Let $\alpha, \beta \in F$. Denote $\alpha = \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ the galois conjugates of α . If for all $i \in \llbracket 2, n \rrbracket$,*

$$|\beta - \alpha| < |\beta - \alpha_i|$$

then, $F(\alpha) \subset F(\beta)$

Proof. Suppose $\alpha \notin F(\beta)$. Then, let us consider the extension $L/F(\beta)$ generated by the galois conjugates of α over $F(\beta)$. By construction $L/F(\beta)$ is Galois. Hence there exists some $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/F(\beta))$ such that $\sigma(\alpha) \neq \alpha$. Hence, $\sigma(\alpha) = \alpha_i$ for some $i \in \llbracket 2, n \rrbracket$. Moreover, $|\cdot| \circ \sigma$ is still an absolute value on L . By uniqueness in 1.18, we have that $|\cdot| = |\cdot| \circ \sigma$. Thus,

$$|\beta - \alpha| = |\sigma(\beta - \alpha)| = |\sigma(\beta) - \sigma(\alpha)| = |\beta - \alpha_i|$$

which is absurd □

Let's now talk a bit about ramification, as I will need it in the future. I will use notions which were in the number theory course given by F.Ivorra in the semester 2 of my M1 without redemonstrating them.

First of all, let us recall that rings of integers are Dedekind rings, and as such, there exists a unique prime decomposition of ideals :

Theorem 1.25. *Let A be a dedekind ring and let I be an ideal of A . Then, there exists a unique decomposition of I into prime ideals :*

$$I = \prod_{J \text{ prime}} J^{e_J}$$

with $e_J \in \mathbb{N}$

Let us now fix a finite extension of Dedekind Rings B/A (as in B is a ring and a A -module of finite type). Let us write $L := \text{Frac}(B)$, and $K := \text{Frac}(A)$

Definition 1.26. Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal of A . Let us consider the decomposition of \mathfrak{p} in B :

$$\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{P}_1^{e_1} \cdots \mathfrak{P}_r^{e_r}$$

with $e_i \in \mathbb{N}$. Then e_i is called the **ramification index** of \mathfrak{P}_i over \mathfrak{p} and if we let $\kappa(\mathfrak{p}) = A/\mathfrak{p}$ and $\kappa(\mathfrak{P}_i) = B/\mathfrak{P}_i$, then the degree of the residue field extension $f_i := [\kappa(\mathfrak{p}) : \kappa(\mathfrak{P}_i)]$ is called the **inertia degree**.

Remark 1.27. The inertia degree is well defined. Indeed, if e_1, \dots, e_n generates B as an A -module, then for $x \in B$,

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i e_i$$

and if we denote by $\pi_i : B \rightarrow \kappa(\mathfrak{P}_i)$ then

$$\pi_i(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_i(a_i) \pi_i(e_i)$$

which means that the degree of the residue field extension is less or equal to that of the field extension

Theorem 1.28.

$$\sum_{i=1}^r e_i f_i = [L : K]$$

Proof. Can be found in [Sp] □

Returning to the context of p -adic fields, we have the fact that the rings of integers of such fields are DVR. Hence, they have a unique maximal ideal. As they are also Dedekind rings, they have a unique prime ideal. Hence the following corollary.

Corollary 1.29. Let E/F be a finite extension of p -adic fields of dimension n . If e and $f \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

- $\varpi_E^e = \varpi_F$
- $[\kappa_E : \kappa_F] = f$

Then,

$$n = ef$$

2 Smooth representations

In this section, we take $G = GL_n(F)$ where F is a p -adic field. The topology on this group is given by the topology on F and by the product topology. This makes G into a topological group which also has a basis of compact open subsets.

Definition 2.1. A **smooth representation** of G is a pair (V, π) such that V is a complex finite dimensional vector space, and $\pi : G \rightarrow GL(V)$ is a **smooth** group homomorphism, meaning that for every vector $v \in V$, there exists an open subgroup K of G such that for all $g \in K$, $\pi(g)(v) = v$.

Remark 2.2. We will often fix a representation π and forget about it, and we will write abusively $g.v$ for $\pi(g)(v)$.

Proposition 2.3. *Let ϖ be a normalized uniformizer for F , i.e. $\text{val}(\varpi) = 1$. Then, (V, π) is a smooth representation if and only if, for all $v \in V$ there exists some N such that $1 + \varpi^N GL_n(\mathcal{O}_F)$ stabilizes v .*

Proof. Let $v \in V$. Suppose there exists an N such that $1 + \varpi^N GL_n(\mathcal{O}_F)$ stabilizes v . Then, according to 1.22, this set is open. It is also clearly a group. Reciprocally, if there exists an open subgroup K such that $K \subset \text{Stab}(v)$, then according to 1.22, because $1 \in K$, there exists some N such that $1 + \varpi^N GL_n(\mathcal{O}_F)$ stabilizes v □

Example 2.4. An example of such a representation when $F = \mathbb{Q}_p$ and $\dim(V) = 1$ is the following character

$$\chi : x \mapsto e^{2i\pi\{x\}_p}$$

where $\{x\}_p$ denotes the fractional part of x .

Remark 2.5. Composing this character with $\text{Tr}_{F/\mathbb{Q}_p}$ gives a character of any p -adic field.

Remark 2.6. More constructions of such smooth representations can be found in [Bu]

Definition 2.7. Denote $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G)$ the set of complex valued, compactly supported locally constant functions on G . Such functions will be called smooth functions.

Remark 2.8. The reason why locally constant functions are the ones being considered for "smoothness" is very much linked to the topology of p -adic fields. This topology has a basis of compact-open sets. If a function happened to not be locally constant, it then wouldn't be continuous. The following proposition precises this :

Proposition 2.9. *A function $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G)$ is smooth (meaning it is (left) invariant under translation by some open subgroup) if and only if it is locally constant.*

Proof. Suppose f is smooth. Let $K \leq G$ be an open subgroup under which f is invariant. Let $x \in G$. Then $f(Kx) = f(x)$. As Kx is open, f is locally constant. Reciprocally, suppose f is locally constant, and let $x \in G$. Then there exists U open such that $f(U) = f(x)$. Thus, Ux^{-1} is an open set containing 1. Hence Ux^{-1} contains an open subgroup according to 1.22, which gives the desired result. □

3 Haar measures and integration on groups

The goal of this section is to create a theory of integration on all **locally compact groups**. We already know how to define the lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^d . This part will generalize this.

Definition 3.1. Let G be a locally compact group. A (left) **Haar measure** on G is a borelian regular measure λ such that, for all $g \in G$, and for all borelians B ,

$$\lambda(g.B) = \lambda(B)$$

Example 3.2. On $(\mathbb{R}^d, +)$, the Lebesgue measure is a Haar measure.

Example 3.3. On (\mathbb{R}_+^*, \times) , $\frac{dx}{x}$ is a Haar measure. Indeed, if $[a, b]$ is a segment of \mathbb{R}_+^* and $r > 0$, then, by a change of variables,

$$\int_{ra}^{rb} \frac{dx}{x} = \int_a^b \frac{dx}{x}$$

Because segments generate the entier σ -algebra, this concludes.

Example 3.4. Let $f : [0, 2\pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $f(t) = e^{it}$. Then, the measure on S^1 given by $\lambda(B) = \frac{m(f^{-1}(B))}{2\pi}$ where m is the lebesgue measure is a Haar measure on the circle.

Example 3.5. For $G = GL_n(\mathbb{R})$, a Haar measure is given by

$$\lambda(B) = \int_B \frac{dx}{|\det(x)^n|}$$

Let B be a borelian and $g \in G$. Then, the jacobian of g is g itself, as a map from \mathbb{R}^{n^2} to itself. In the basis of standard vectors, the matrix of g as a map from \mathbb{R}^{n^2} to itself is just a block diagonal matrix, whose every block in the diagonal is g itself as an $n \times n$ matrix. Hence, the determinant of the jacobian is $\det(g)^n$. the rest follows from the change of variables formula.

Theorem 3.6 (Existence and uniqueness of Haar measure). *Let G be a locally compact topological group. Then, there exists a unique, up to scalar multiplication, measure λ which is a Haar measure on G .*

Remark 3.7. The proof of this theorem resembles that of the construction of the lebesgue measure.

Remark 3.8. If we fix some compact borelian B , then, because a Haar measure is finite on compact sets, we can fix a value to B , for example $\lambda(B) = 1$. There will then exist a unique Haar measure which verifies this. In the future, we will fix a Haar measure on p -adic fields F such that $\lambda(\mathcal{O}_F) = 1$.

4 Parabolic subgroups and cusp forms

Definition 4.1. Let V be a complex finite dimensional vector space of dimension n . A **flag** on V is a finite sequence of increasing sub vector spaces (V_k) such that

$$\{0\} = V_0 \subsetneq V_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq V_k = V$$

Remark 4.2. If d_i is the dimension of the i th vector space, then

$$0 = d_0 < d_1 < \cdots < d_k = n$$

Example 4.3. If for all i , $d_i = i$, then the flag is called **complete**. It is the case where, for instance, V_i is the vector space generated by the first i standard basis vectors.

Definition 4.4. Let (V_k) be a flag. The **parabolic subgroup** associated with this flag is the group

$$P = \{g \in GL_n(F) | \forall i \in [1, k] g.V_i = V_i\}$$

In the rest of this section, we will fix V a finite dimensional complex vector space, and for a flag (V_i) , we will denote P the corresponding parabolic subgroup.

Definition 4.5. A basis e_1, \dots, e_n such that e_1, \dots, e_{d_1} is a basis of V_1 , e_1, \dots, e_{d_2} is a basis of V_2 , \dots , e_1, \dots, e_n is a basis of V_k is called a **basis adapted to the flag**.

Let us now also fix a basis adapted to the flag.

Remark 4.6. In such a basis, the elements of P can be written as

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_1 & * & \cdots & * \\ 0 & A_2 & \cdots & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & A_k \end{pmatrix}$$

where A_i is an invertible matrix of size $\dim(V_i/V_{i-1})$

Definition 4.7. $M = \prod_{i=1}^k GL(V_i/V_{i-1})$ is called the **Levi component** of the parabolic subgroup

Remark 4.8. In the basis adapted to the flag, M can be embedded in P by

$$\begin{aligned} M &\rightarrow GL_n(\mathbb{C}) \\ (g_1, \dots, g_k) &\mapsto \begin{pmatrix} g_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & g_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & g_k \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Definition 4.9. $N = \{g \in P \mid \forall i \in \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket (g - I_n) \cdot V_i \subset V_{i-1}\}$ is called the **Unipotent subgroup** of P

Remark 4.10. N is normal in P .

Example 4.11. In a basis adapted to the flag, the elements of N are of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_1 & * & \cdots & * \\ 0 & I_2 & \cdots & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & I_k \end{pmatrix}$$

where I_k is the identity matrix of size d_k .

Theorem 4.12 (Levi decomposition). P can be written as $P = MN$ where M is the Levi and N is the unipotent subgroup.

Proof. Clearly, according to their matrix forms, $MN \subset P$. Let now $A \in P$ such that

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & A_{1,2} & \cdots & A_{1,n} \\ 0 & A_2 & \cdots & A_{2,n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & A_k \end{pmatrix}$$

We can also see that

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_1^{-1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & A_2^{-1} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & A_k^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & A_{1,2} & \cdots & A_{1,n} \\ 0 & A_2 & \cdots & A_{2,n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & A_k \end{pmatrix}$$

is an upper triangular matrix with identity blocks in its diagonal. Hence, it is an element of N . Thus, $A \in MN$ \square

Now to the main important word of this report :

Definition 4.13. Let (V, π) be a smooth representation of G . (V, π) is said to be **supercuspidal** if for all proper parabolic subgroups P of G , and for all vectors $v \in V$ then

$$\int_N \pi(n)(v)dn = 0$$

where N denotes the Levi component of the parabolic subgroup

Remark 4.14. As G is locally compact, N also is. Moreover, this definition doesn't depend on the choice of the Haar measure.

Definition 4.15. A representation is said to be **irreducible** if no non-trivial sub-representations exist.

Definition 4.16. Denote $\mathcal{C}_{c, \text{cusp}}^\infty(G) \subset \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G)$ the subspace of cusp forms, i.e. of functions f such that for all proper parabolic subgroups $G = MN$, and for all $x \in G$

$$\int_N f(xn)dn = 0$$

Let us now define a Fourier transform on $M_n(F)$: We first fix a non trivial continuous additive character $\psi_F : F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$. One way to construct such a character would be by 2.5. Another is given in [Bu], which has the advantage of being trivial on \mathfrak{p}_F and non trivial on \mathcal{O}_F . We will choose this one. Next, we choose a non degenerate bilinear form B on $M_n(F)$ that is G invariant. We can take, for example

$$\begin{aligned} M_n(F) \times M_n(F) &\rightarrow F \\ (A, B) &\longmapsto \text{Tr}(AB) \end{aligned}$$

Using elementary matrices, we see that this form is non-degenerate.

Definition 4.17. Let $\phi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M_n(F))$. We define the **Fourier transform** of ϕ to be

$$\hat{\phi}(Y) = \int_{M_n(F)} \phi(X) \psi_F(B(X, Y)) dX$$

Remark 4.18. This is very similar to the Fourier transform on the group $(\mathbb{R}^d, +)$ with the usual scalar product instead of B and the exponential being the character from $(\mathbb{R}, +)$ to $(\mathbb{R}^\times, \times)$. This is merely a generalization to locally compact abelian groups. For further references, see [this](#)

5 How to construct a supercuspidal representation for $G = GL_n(F)$

We will construct an example for the following theorem :

Theorem 5.1. *Let $G = GL_n(F)$. Then, there exists a supercuspidal irreducible representation of G .*

In order to prove the preceding theorem, we will prove this one :

Theorem 5.2. $\mathcal{C}_{c, \text{cusp}}^\infty(G) \neq \{0\}$

And, in order to prove this theorem, we will find a non zero function $\phi \in \mathcal{C}_{c, \text{cusp}}^\infty(M_n(F))$, and lift it via the exponential map. In order to understand how to lift this function, and how the second theorem implies the first, I refer to [BP]

In the following section, we fix E/F a finite extension of p -adic fields, with $n = [E : F]$, with n being the same as the one of $GL_n(F)$. Let us also fix α such that $E = F[\alpha]$, and let us fix an F -basis \mathcal{B} of E . This is possible because as the characteristic is 0, this extension is separable. We will need a few propositions before we get to the bulk of it.

Proposition 5.3. *There is an injective group morphism $E^\times \rightarrow G$*

Proof. Every element of E^\times can be seen as a linear transformation of F : we see $x \in E$ as $m_x : y \mapsto xy$. These functions are clearly invertible. Once a basis is fixed, we thus have an inclusion. \square

Remark 5.4. We will often make no difference between x and m_x .

For context reasons, we will denote $\mathfrak{t}_{\text{ell}}(F)$ the image of E^\times in G .

Definition 5.5. We will write $\mathfrak{t}_{\text{ell}, \text{reg}}(F)$ the subset of $\mathfrak{t}_{\text{ell}}(F)$ consisting of elements with a minimal polynomial with distinct roots in an algebraic closure of F

Definition 5.6. Define $\mathfrak{t}_{\text{ell}, \text{reg}}(F)^G$ to be the subset of G with elements that are G -conjugated to an element of $\mathfrak{t}_{\text{ell}, \text{reg}}(F)$. In other words,

$$\mathfrak{t}_{\text{ell}, \text{reg}}(F)^G = \{gXg^{-1} | g \in G, X \in \mathfrak{t}_{\text{ell}, \text{reg}}(F)\}$$

Remark 5.7. We know that in \mathbb{R} , the set of polynomials with distinct roots in \mathbb{C} is open. Here, a similar proof can be applied. And the function ϕ that we would want to take is something resembling $\mathbb{1}_{\mathfrak{t}_{\text{ell}, \text{reg}}^G}$. The problem is that this is then non-constructive.

Proposition 5.8. *Let $B \in M_n(F)$. Then, $B \in \mathfrak{t}_{\text{ell}, \text{reg}}(F)^G \iff E = F[\lambda]$ for λ some eigenvalue of μ_B in \bar{F}*

Proof. Suppose $B \in \mathfrak{t}_{\text{ell}, \text{reg}}(F)^G$. Then $B = gXg^{-1}$ for some $g \in GL_n(F)$ and $X \in \mathfrak{t}_{\text{ell}, \text{reg}}(F)$. Thus, X is diagonalizable in \bar{F} . Thus, as its minimal polynomial in \bar{F} is equal to its characteristic polynomial in \bar{F} . As $\chi_X \in F[X]$, then the minimal polynomial of X is of degree n , and hence if X is multiplication by β , $E = F[\beta]$ by equality of dimensions. Moreover, we have :

$$\chi_B = \chi_X = \chi_\beta = \mu_\beta = \mu_X = \mu_B$$

which implies that β is an eigenvalue of B , as $\chi_B(\beta) = 0$.

Conversly, if $E = F[\lambda]$ for λ an eigenvalue of B , then

$$\chi_B = \mu_\lambda = \chi_\lambda$$

As two cyclic endomorphisms with the same characteristic polynomials are conjugated, it suffices to show that multiplication by λ has a characteristic polynomial with distinct roots. As its characteristic polynomial is equal to its minimal polynomial, this is trivially the case, and hence the desired result. \square

I will first show a non-constructive proof of the openness of $\mathfrak{t}_{\text{ell}, \text{reg}}(F)^G$ in $M_n(F)$

Lemma 5.9. *Let $\alpha \in \bar{F}$ such that μ_α is of degree n . Then, there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that for all $q \in F[X]$ monic of degree n such that*

$$|\mu_\alpha - q|_p < \epsilon$$

there exists $\beta \in \bar{F}$ such that $q(\beta) = 0$ and $F[\alpha] = F[\beta]$.

Remark 5.10. The absolute value on polynomials is the one given by the maximum of all the absolute values of the coefficients in F

Proof. Let α such that μ_α is of degree n and denote A the associated matrix. Let $0 < \epsilon < 1$ that we will fix alter. Let q be a monic polynomial of degree n such that $|\mu_\alpha - q| < \epsilon$. Because $M \mapsto \chi_M$ is surjective from $M_n(F)$ to monic polynomials in F of degree n (take for instance companion matrices), there exists a B such that $q = \chi_B$. As the set of all polynomials separated in F is open, we can choose ϵ small enough such that χ_B has distinct roots, i.e B has distinct eigenvalues.

Let now $\delta > 0$ such that if β is a root of χ_B then $|\beta - \alpha| < \delta$ (possible because the function which maps a polynomial to its roots is continuous), and let $\epsilon_2 = \min(\epsilon, \delta)$. There exists a $C > 0$ which only depends on ϵ_2 such that $|\chi_A(\beta) - q(\beta)| < C\epsilon$. Indeed, this C is given by :

$$\begin{aligned} |\chi_A(\beta) - q(\beta)| &\leq \sum_{i=0}^n |\chi_{A_i} - q_i| |\beta|^i \\ &\leq \epsilon \sum_{i=0}^n |\beta|^i \\ &\leq \epsilon \sum_{i=0}^n (|\alpha| + \epsilon_2)^i \\ &< C\epsilon \end{aligned}$$

as $\epsilon < 1$. It also doesn't depend on B or β . So, we can suppose ϵ small enough such that

$$|\chi_A(\beta)| < \epsilon$$

In \bar{F} , $\chi_A(\beta) = \prod_{i=1}^n (\beta - \alpha_i)$ where the α_i are the Galois conjugates of α , with $\alpha_1 = \alpha$. We now take $\epsilon_3 = \min(\epsilon_2, \min_{i \neq j} (|\alpha_i - \alpha_j|/2))$, then for all $j \neq 1$,

$$|\beta - \alpha| < |\beta - \alpha_j|$$

Then, for $i \neq j$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\beta - \alpha_j| &= |\beta - \alpha - (\alpha_j - \alpha)| \\ &\leq \max(|\beta - \alpha|, |\alpha - \alpha_j|) \\ &\leq |\alpha - \alpha_j| \end{aligned}$$

Then, by , this shows that $F[\alpha] \subset F[\beta]$. But, as $[F[\beta] : F] \leq n$ and $[F[\alpha] : F] = n$, we have

$$F[\alpha] = F[\beta]$$

□

Theorem 5.11. $\mathfrak{t}_{ell,reg}(F)^G$ is an open subset of $M_n(F)$

Proof. Let $\alpha \in \mathfrak{t}_{ell,reg}(F)^G$. Then, according to 5.9 there exists an $\epsilon > 0$ such that for all $q \in F[X]$ monic of degree n such that $|\mu_\alpha - q| < \epsilon$ then $q = \mu_\beta = \chi_\beta$ such that $F[\alpha] = F[\beta]$. According to 5.8 this means that $\beta \in \mathfrak{t}_{ell,reg}(F)^G$. this means that

$$\{\chi_x | x \in \mathfrak{t}_{ell,reg}(F)^G\}$$

is open in $\{P \in F_n[X], P \text{ monic}\}$. By continuity of $x \mapsto \chi_x$, this concludes the proof. \square

Definition 5.12. Let

$$\mathcal{P} = \{A \in \text{End}_F(E) | \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}, A\mathfrak{p}_E^n \subset \mathfrak{p}_E^{n+1}\}$$

Proposition 5.13. In a good basis,

$$\mathcal{P} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{p}_F & \mathcal{O}_F & \cdots & \mathcal{O}_F \\ \mathfrak{p}_F & \mathfrak{p}_F & \cdots & \mathcal{O}_F \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathfrak{p}_F & \cdots & \mathfrak{p}_F & \mathfrak{p}_F \end{pmatrix}$$

Proof. Let e be the ramification index of $[E : F]$. This means that $\varpi_E^e = \varpi_F$. Let $\pi(e_1) \cdots \pi(e_f)$ be a basis of the residue field extension κ_E/κ_F . We will show that $(\varpi_E^k e_i)_{1 \leq k \leq e, 1 \leq i \leq f}$ is a basis in which all elements of \mathcal{P} look like what is above. First of, let's show that this is indeed a basis. Suppose there exists $\lambda_{k,i}$ such that

$$\sum \lambda_{k,i} \varpi_E^k e_i = 0$$

. We can, without loss of generality, suppose that these lambda have positive valuation. Then, applying π , we get

$$\sum_i \sum_k \pi(\lambda_{k,i}) \pi(\varpi_E^k) \pi(e_i) = 0$$

and as $(\pi(e_i))$ is a basis, we get that, for all i ,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{e-1} \lambda_{k,i} \varpi_E^k \in \mathfrak{p}_F$$

but, if this is non zero, it is in contradiction with the fact that $\varpi_E^k = \varpi_F$ (contradiction with the absolute value of these elements). Hence, $\sum_{k=0}^{e-1} \lambda_{k,i} \varpi_E^k = 0$. As the λ 's have valuation 0, this implies that they are 0. So, $(\varpi_E^k e_i)$ is a free family. According to 1.29, it is a basis. Let now $A \in \mathcal{P}$. A sends $\varpi_E^k e_i$ to an element of valuation $k+1$. Hence $A\varpi_E^k e_i$ can be written as

$$\sum_{i=0}^k a_i \varpi_E^i + \sum_{i=k+1}^{e-1} b_i \varpi_E^i$$

with $a_i \in \mathfrak{p}_F$ and $b_i \in \mathcal{O}_F$. Hence the desired result. \square

Just have to verify the thing with the lambda having val 0.

Definition 5.14. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, define \mathcal{P}^k to be $\{A \in \text{End}_F(E) | \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}, A\mathfrak{p}_E^n \subset \mathfrak{p}_E^{n+k}\}$

The goal is now to show that if $m = \text{val}(\alpha)$ then $\alpha + \mathcal{P}^{1-m}$ is an open subset of $\mathfrak{t}_{\text{ell}, \text{reg}}(F)^G$. I haven't had the time to show this if you're reading it.

Suppose that it is true.

Let us now define

$$\phi = \mathbb{1}_{\mathfrak{t}_{\text{ell}, \text{reg}}(F)^G}$$

The above statement tells us that $\phi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G)$

In [BP], the author proves that $\hat{\phi}$ is a cusp form. Here,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\hat{X}) &= \int_{M_n(F)} \mathbb{1}_{\alpha + \mathcal{P}^{1-m}} \psi_F(\text{Tr}(XY)) dY \\ &= \int_{\alpha + \mathcal{P}^{1-m}} \psi_F(\text{Tr}(XY)) dY \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{P}^{1-m}} \psi_F(\text{Tr}(X(\alpha + Y))) dY \\ &= \psi_F(\text{Tr}(X\alpha)) \int_{\mathcal{P}^{1-m}} \psi_F(\text{Tr}(XY)) dY \end{aligned}$$

Now, for all $Y \in \mathcal{P}^{1-m}$, $\psi_F(\text{Tr}(XY)) = 1$ if and only if $\text{Tr}(XY) \in \mathfrak{p}_F$ if and only if $X \in \mathcal{P}^m$. This can be seen with elementary matrices. Choosing the Haar measure in order to normalize the final result, we have :

$$\hat{\phi}(X) = \psi_F(\text{Tr}(X\alpha)) \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{P}^m}(X)$$

References

- [As] I.ASSEM *Introduction au langage catégorique*, Calvage Mounet, 2022
- [BP] R. BEUZZART-PLESSIS *A short proof of the existence of supercuspidal representations for all reductive p-adic groups*, ArXiv
- [Sp] J. SPRANG *Algebraic number theory I and II*, lecture notes.
- [Bu] C. J. BUSHNELL *The Admissible dual of GL_n via compact open subgroups*, Princeton University Press, 1993.
- [Re] D.RENARD *Représentations des groupes réductifs p-adiques* Cours spécialisés [Specialized Courses] 17, Société mathématique de France, Paris, 2010, vi+332 pp