

Discussion of “Diversifying conformal selections”

Yash Nair, Ying Jin, James Yang, and Emmanuel Candès

Ulysse Gazin (LPSM, Université Paris Cité)

ISSI: 26 February 2026

Context and Aim

Prediction: Covariates: $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Predictive Covariate} \quad X \in \mathcal{X} \\ \text{Diversity Covariate} \quad Z \in \mathcal{Z} \end{array} \right.$
Quality Outcome: $Y \in \mathbb{R}$

Context and Aim

Prediction: Covariates: $\begin{cases} \text{Predictive Covariate} & X \in \mathcal{X} \\ \text{Diversity Covariate} & Z \in \mathcal{Z} \end{cases}$
 Quality Outcome: $Y \in \mathbb{R}$

Aim: Select X with good quality ($Y \geq 0$)
Having diversity for the selected (various Z)

Context and Aim

Prediction: Covariates: $\begin{cases} \text{Predictive Covariate} & X \in \mathcal{X} \\ \text{Diversity Covariate} & Z \in \mathcal{Z} \end{cases}$
Quality Outcome: $Y \in \mathbb{R}$

Aim: Select X with good quality ($Y \geq 0$)

Having diversity for the selected (various Z)

$\mathcal{D}_{\text{test}} = ((X_{n+1}, Z_{n+1}, Y_{n+1}), \dots, (X_{n+m}, Z_{n+m}, Y_{n+m}))$ observe only X and Z .

$\rightarrow \varphi(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{Z}) \in \mathbb{R}$: measures diversity of set $\mathcal{S} \subset \llbracket m \rrbracket$

Context and Aim

Prediction: Covariates: $\begin{cases} \text{Predictive Covariate} & X \in \mathcal{X} \\ \text{Diversity Covariate} & Z \in \mathcal{Z} \end{cases}$
Quality Outcome: $Y \in \mathbb{R}$

Aim: Select X with good quality ($Y \geq 0$)

Having diversity for the selected (various Z)

$\mathcal{D}_{\text{test}} = ((X_{n+1}, Z_{n+1}, Y_{n+1}), \dots, (X_{n+m}, Z_{n+m}, Y_{n+m}))$ observe only X and Z .
 $\rightarrow \varphi(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{Z}) \in \mathbb{R}$: measures diversity of set $\mathcal{S} \subset \llbracket m \rrbracket$

Diverse FDR control

Construct $\mathcal{R} \subset \llbracket m \rrbracket$ such that:

$$\text{FDR}(\mathcal{R}) = \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\sum_{i \in \mathcal{R}} \mathbb{1}\{Y_{n+i} \leq 0\}}{|\mathcal{R}| \wedge 1} \right] \leq \alpha,$$

\mathcal{R} maximising $\varphi(\mathcal{S}, Z_{n+1}, \dots, Z_{n+m})$.

- ▶ Guarantee over the selected.
- ▶ The selection is diverse.

The e-values lens: FDR control

- ▶ Quality scores $V(x, y) = -\hat{\mu}_{\text{train}}(x)\mathbb{1}\{y \leq 0\} + \infty\mathbb{1}\{y > 0\}$
- ▶ $\mathcal{D}_{\text{cal}} = (X_1, Z_1, Y_1), \dots, (X_n, Z_n, Y_n)$
- ▶ $\mathcal{D}_{\text{test}} = (X_{n+1}, Z_{n+1}, Y_{n+1}), \dots, (X_{n+m}, Z_{n+m}, Y_{n+m})$ only X and Z are observed.

The e-values lens: FDR control

- ▶ Quality scores $V(x, y) = -\hat{\mu}_{\text{train}}(x)\mathbb{1}\{y \leq 0\} + \infty\mathbb{1}\{y > 0\}$
- ▶ $\mathcal{D}_{\text{cal}} = (X_1, Z_1, Y_1), \dots, (X_n, Z_n, Y_n)$
- ▶ $\mathcal{D}_{\text{test}} = (X_{n+1}, Z_{n+1}, Y_{n+1}), \dots, (X_{n+m}, Z_{n+m}, Y_{n+m})$ only X and Z are observed.

$$\{W_{(1)} \geq W_{(2)} \geq \dots \geq W_{(n+m)}\} = \{V(X_1, Y_1), \dots, V(X_n, Y_n), V(X_{n+1}, 0), \dots, V(X_{n+m}, 0)\}$$

Conformal e-values

$$e_i^{(t)} = \frac{(n+1)\mathbb{1}\{V(X_{n+i}, 0) \leq W_{(t)}\}}{1+n - \sum_{k \in \llbracket n \rrbracket} \mathbb{1}\{V(X_k, Y_k) > W_{(t)}\}}, \quad i \in \llbracket m \rrbracket, t \in \llbracket n+m \rrbracket$$

e-values control [Nair et al., 2025]

Backwards filtration $\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma(\mathbb{1}\{W_{(t+1)} \text{ from } \mathcal{D}_{\text{cal}}\}, \dots, \mathbb{1}\{W_{(n+m)} \text{ from } \mathcal{D}_{\text{cal}}\}, \mathbf{Z}^{(0)})$

If τ stopping time and $\mathcal{R} \in \llbracket m \rrbracket$ self-consistent w.r.t. $(e_i^{(\tau)})_{i \in \llbracket m \rrbracket}$:

$$\text{FDR}(\mathcal{R}) \leq \alpha$$

Optimising diversity: Step-Up procedure

$O_t = \varphi(\mathcal{R}_t^*, \mathbf{Z})$ with \mathcal{R}_t^* self consistent w.r.t. $(e_i^{(t)})_{i \in \llbracket m \rrbracket}$ optimising $\varphi(\cdot, \mathbf{Z})$

Aim: Choose the good stopping time τ

Answer: Supermartingale properties of e -values \rightarrow Snell envelope for martingale

Optimising diversity: Step-Up procedure

$O_t = \varphi(\mathcal{R}_t^*, \mathbf{Z})$ with \mathcal{R}_t^* self consistent w.r.t. $(e_i^{(t)})_{i \in \llbracket m \rrbracket}$ optimising $\varphi(\cdot, \mathbf{Z})$

Aim: Choose the good stopping time τ

Answer: Supermartingale properties of e -values \rightarrow Snell envelope for martingale

▷ Sequentially for $t \in \llbracket 1, \tau_{\text{BH}} \rrbracket$:

① Reward: $R_t = \mathbb{E}[O_t | \mathcal{F}_t]$

② Envelope: $E_t = R_t \vee \mathbb{E}[E_{t-1} | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}] \geq R_t$

▷ $\tau^* = \max\{t \in \llbracket 1, \tau_{\text{BH}} \rrbracket : R_t = E_t\}$ and select $\mathcal{R}_{\tau^*}^*$

Optimising diversity: Step-Up procedure

$O_t = \varphi(\mathcal{R}_t^*, \mathbf{Z})$ with \mathcal{R}_t^* self consistent w.r.t. $(e_i^{(t)})_{i \in \llbracket m \rrbracket}$ optimising $\varphi(\cdot, \mathbf{Z})$

Aim: Choose the good stopping time τ

Answer: Supermartingale properties of e-values \rightarrow Snell envelope for martingale

\triangleright Sequentially for $t \in \llbracket 1, \tau_{\text{BH}} \rrbracket$:

① Reward: $R_t = \mathbb{E}[O_t | \mathcal{F}_t]$

② Envelope: $E_t = R_t \vee \mathbb{E}[E_{t-1} | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}] \geq R_t$

$\triangleright \tau^* = \max\{t \in \llbracket 1, \tau_{\text{BH}} \rrbracket : R_t = E_t\}$ and select $\mathcal{R}_{\tau^*}^*$

Snell Envelope optimisation [Nair et al., 2025]

If $\tau \leq \tau_{\text{BH}}$ stopping time and $\mathcal{R} \in \llbracket m \rrbracket$ self-consistent w.r.t. $(e_i^{(\tau)})_{i \in \llbracket m \rrbracket}$:

$$\mathbb{E}[\varphi(\mathcal{R}_{\tau^*}^*, \mathbf{Z}) | \mathcal{F}_{\tau_{\text{BH}}}] \geq \mathbb{E}[\varphi(\mathcal{R}, \mathbf{Z}) | \mathcal{F}_{\tau_{\text{BH}}}]$$

Optimising diversity: Step-Up procedure

$O_t = \varphi(\mathcal{R}_t^*, \mathbf{Z})$ with \mathcal{R}_t^* self consistent w.r.t. $(e_i^{(t)})_{i \in \llbracket m \rrbracket}$ optimising $\varphi(\cdot, \mathbf{Z})$

Aim: Choose the good stopping time τ

Answer: Supermartingale properties of e-values \rightarrow Snell envelope for martingale

\triangleright Sequentially for $t \in \llbracket 1, \tau_{\text{BH}} \rrbracket$:

① Reward: $R_t = \mathbb{E}[O_t | \mathcal{F}_t]$

② Envelope: $E_t = R_t \vee \mathbb{E}[E_{t-1} | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}] \geq R_t$

$\triangleright \tau^* = \max\{t \in \llbracket 1, \tau_{\text{BH}} \rrbracket : R_t = E_t\}$ and select $\mathcal{R}_{\tau^*}^*$

Snell Envelope optimisation [Nair et al., 2025]

If $\tau \leq \tau_{\text{BH}}$ stopping time and $\mathcal{R} \in \llbracket m \rrbracket$ self-consistent w.r.t. $(e_i^{(\tau)})_{i \in \llbracket m \rrbracket}$:

$$\mathbb{E}[\varphi(\mathcal{R}_{\tau^*}^*, \mathbf{Z}) | \mathcal{F}_{\tau_{\text{BH}}}] \geq \mathbb{E}[\varphi(\mathcal{R}, \mathbf{Z}) | \mathcal{F}_{\tau_{\text{BH}}}]$$

Problem: \mathbb{E} is not known (distribution free)

Optimising diversity: Step-Up procedure

$O_t = \varphi(\mathcal{R}_t^*, \mathbf{Z})$ with \mathcal{R}_t^* self consistent w.r.t. $(e_i^{(t)})_{i \in [m]}$ optimising $\varphi(\cdot, \mathbf{Z})$

Aim: Choose the good stopping time τ

Answer: Supermartingale properties of e-values \rightarrow Snell envelope for martingale

\triangleright Sequentially for $t \in \llbracket 1, \tau_{\text{BH}} \rrbracket$:

① Reward: $R_t = \mathbb{E}_{\text{exch}}[O_t | \mathcal{F}_t]$

② Envelope: $E_t = R_t \vee \mathbb{E}_{\text{exch}}[E_{t-1} | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}] \geq R_t$

$\triangleright \tau^* = \max\{t \in \llbracket 1, \tau_{\text{BH}} \rrbracket : R_t = E_t\}$ and select $\mathcal{R}_{\tau^*}^*$

Snell Envelope optimisation [Nair et al., 2025]

If $\tau \leq \tau_{\text{BH}}$ stopping time and $\mathcal{R} \in [m]$ self-consistent w.r.t. $(e_i^{(\tau)})_{i \in [m]}$:

$$\mathbb{E}_{\text{exch}}[\varphi(\mathcal{R}_{\tau^*}^*, \mathbf{Z}) | \mathcal{F}_{\tau_{\text{BH}}}] \geq \mathbb{E}_{\text{exch}}[\varphi(\mathcal{R}, \mathbf{Z}) | \mathcal{F}_{\tau_{\text{BH}}}]$$

Problem: \mathbb{E} is not known (distribution free) \Rightarrow Use computable \mathbb{E}_{exch}

Summary

Congratulations on the great work & talk!
Strong application in “fair” ML!
Paves the way to general selection while optimising!

Summary

Congratulations on the great work & talk!
Strong application in “fair” ML!
Paves the way to general selection while optimising!

What I like

- ▶ Use of \mathbb{E}_{exch} and exchangeability properties
- ▶ General theoretical methods that can be applied for various problems
- ▶ With various shortcuts

Summary

Congratulations on the great work & talk!
Strong application in “fair” ML!
Paves the way to general selection while optimising!


What I like

- ▶ Use of \mathbb{E}_{exch} and exchangeability properties
- ▶ General theoretical methods that can be applied for various problems
- ▶ With various shortcuts

Questions

- 1 Can we apply this in a diverse testing problem? Replace Y by $\mathbb{1}\{Y > 0\}$?
- 2 How far is $\mathbb{E}_{\text{exch}}[\varphi(\mathcal{R}, \mathbf{Z})]$ of $\mathbb{E}[\varphi(\mathcal{R}, \mathbf{Z})]$? Coupling properties? Wasserstein distance between real distribution of (X, Z, Y) and ones such that \mathbb{E}_{exch} is defined?
- 3 Can we create prediction region with FCR control? Informative diverse selection with e -values? And V not the clipped score
- 4 Why the 1.3α control in the relaxed problem? Is it possible to remove it?

Reference I

-  Nair, Y., Jin, Y., Yang, J., and Candes, E. (2025).
Diversifying conformal selections.
[arXiv preprint arXiv:2506.16229](https://arxiv.org/abs/2506.16229).